

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/826,179	04/04/2001	Keisuke Goto	KPM-01501	2868
26339	7590 08/25/2003			
PATENT GROUP CHOATE, HALL & STEWART EXCHANGE PLACE, 53 STATE STREET			EXAMINER	
			LE, DINH THANH	
BOSTON, M	IA 02109		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2816	
	•			
			DATE MAILED: 08/25/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			m
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	`
Advisory Action	09/826,179	GOTO ET AL.	
,	Examiner	Art Unit	
	DINH T. LE	2816	
The MAILING DATE fthis communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	lress
THE REPLY FILED 11 August 2003 FAILS TO PLACE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application in the street which is a timely filed amendment which all (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely	ation. A proper repl h places the applica	ly to a ation in
PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Off timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin. S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TI e date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount of the shortened statutory period for reply fice later than three months after the ma	ng date of the final reject HE FINAL REJECTION. FR 1.136(a) and the apportunt of the fee. The apportunities of the fee. The apportunities are the final	ion. See MPEP ropriate extension propriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			
$2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	pecause:		
(a) X they raise new issues that would require furth	ner consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or si	mplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claim	ıs.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	etion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		idered but does NC	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which wei	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims v			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9 and 17-24</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	s a) approved or b) disap	proved by the Exam	niner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement			
10. Other:		DINAT. LE	MER

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed limitation "based on . . . clock signal" newly added to the claims required a further consideration and search..